



SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD OF EDUCATION

Agenda Item# 9.4

Meeting Date: December 14, 2011

Subject: **Coherent Governance Policy Operational Expectations 13 (OE-13) -
Facilities - Monitoring Report**

- Information Item Only
- Approval on Consent Agenda
- Conference (for discussion only)
- Conference/First Reading (Action Anticipated: _____)
- Conference/Action
- Action
- Public Hearing

Division: Superintendent's Office

Recommendation: Approve Coherent Governance Policy Operational Expectations 13 (OE-13) – Facilities - Monitoring Report.

Background/Rationale: The Board has directed the Superintendent to provide Monitoring Reports on regular schedule at open session Board meetings. Monitoring determines the degree to which Board policies are being met. This report is designed to share progress towards the results the Board expects to see for the school system, as well as the effectiveness of the system's operations. This report, therefore, is a review of the organization's performance as it relates to Operational Expectations 13 – Facilities.

The report includes the following information:

- The policy.
- The Superintendent's certification.
- Reasonable Interpretation of the policy.
- Evidence of compliance.

After the Board meets in open session to discuss the report, two additional sections of the report will be completed by the Board chair:

- Disposition of the Board. (The policy is found by the Board to be "in compliance," "in compliance with exceptions," or "not in compliance.")
- Comments.

The Board will discuss the data and conclusions from the Monitoring Report in open session. At the end of the discussion, the Board will vote as to whether it will accept the report, as presented, as evidence of reasonable interpretation and reasonable progress toward achieving the Board's policy. The Board will determine whether the policy is "in compliance with exceptions," "in compliance," or "not in compliance."

with exceptions,” or “not in compliance,” the Board has the option to direct the Superintendent to correct the non-compliance indicators and report back to the Board at a time outside the regular monitoring schedule.

Financial Considerations: None.

Documents Attached:

1. OE-13 Monitoring Report

Estimated Time of Presentation: 5 minutes

Submitted by: Jonathan P. Raymond, Superintendent

Approved by: N/A


[Redacted]

[Redacted]

The Superintendent shall assure that physical facilities support the accomplishment of the Board's Results policies.

[Redacted]

I certify that the information in this



I interpret this to mean that the District will maintain a five year or longer plan for District facilities construction, renovation and maintenance and for facility addition or reduction that incorporates items A E above, ad adheres to ?Board policy 3511: ?Grimes Kennedy Green and Grid Neutral Model Schools Policy Initiative. This plan will also incorporate assumptions regarding capital needs required to accomplish Board Results policies along with projected costs and recommended funding sources. The

Annual facilities inspections were conducted and included in the School Accountability Report Card.

- Inspections begun in June, 2011 and were completed in November, 2011. Annual fire inspection begun in November, 2011 and were completed in December, 2011.
- Opening of schools survey was conducted.

September 2011 implemented a post event survey process to identify issues

Not Applicable

No construction was done that changed the grades served in the building; the primary use of the cafeteria, multi purpose room, gymnasium or the auditorium; or the nature of a District wide destination school.

All construction projects requiring a public bid were completed within District specifications, and approved by the Board.

- The MET renovation
- Luther Burbank Sports Complex

All construction projects will be completed within funds allocated. In addition, all construction projects will be completed within District bid specifications, including time frame and budget.

All construction projects requiring a public bid were completed within District specifications. All change orders received appropriate Board approval.

- o Leonardo da Vinci parking lot, Oscar David Engineering
- o Washington Carver Media Lab, Landmark Construction
- o Sacramento High School pool, Waterworks

I interpret this to mean that the District will administer a clear facility usage procedure that prioritizes District and student use. The District may deny facility use to outside groups if the intended use will compromise the instructional program, District reputation, health and safety of students and staff, safety and maintenance of the facility, or is in conflict with a previously granted permit. The District may take in to consideration any previous negative permit history in denying a permit.

The District will not unreasonably deny the public use of any facility during the 2010 2011 school year.

Other than the normal priority use the 2011P.3611237EDOTec0003>TIT.406FD.0208 (2010)TJc <